G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Cts 89-21-1
" 309-21-(1-3)
" 199-21 cls (1-4)

Later references.

cts 267-24 (1-7)
cs Dep. 20460
Cs 92-34-10
" 60-34-18

1924-25
(Courts)

File No. 161-22
Serial Nos. 1-11

Preserve

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Eleven

Part B for Feb 25

SUBJECT

Reduction of printing and Translation Charges and also Copying Charges

The Registrar Chief Court. The Comptroller Hyd
the Finl Secty The Supdt Govt Printing
& the Dc Bangalore

No P 4487-90 Dt 20.2.25

Passes orders entrusting the work of printing of records in Civil Appeal cases before the Chief Court to the Supdt Govt Printing & requests to submit a set of Rules in the matter

Ldot 23.3.25

4307 P

Dasara Session October 1922

360

4/4/1923

26 JAN 1923

JUDICIAL DEPT.

CS 161-22- 3768 P

Pvt Br Jud

SUBJECT No. 104.

Reduction of printing and translation charges and also copying charges.

Mr. C. Krishnamurthi, Law Association, Bangalore.

Summary of Representation.—The printing of records in appeals before the Chief Court is now entrused to private presses at great cost and the execution of work is also not satisfactory. A small establishment may be separated from the Government Press and attached to the Chief Court for this work, as is done in the Madras High Court.

Reply of Government.—We will consider the suggestion.

Invite attn to the Circular—

2) Done JSG 2/2

2/2/23 SDM 17/2

1) The Registrar, Ch. Ct.

No. P. 7083 G. 19.2.23

Statistics referred to in R.A. Subject—

are called for the finalising of the matter

Sd/ AG

Letter No. Roc 1002/22-257 21.2.23

from the Registrar, Chief Court. Stating in reply to—

Cl. 1) that a copy of the R.A. proceedings book

for Dasara Sessions 1922 has not yet been

received & requesting the supply of the same.

3) Invite attn to a draft forwarding an extract

of the Summary of Representation of the

Registrar, Chief Court & his orders.

Also ascertain that printed books

have not yet been received in the R.A. Cell

from the Press

4) Done

3) P. 7618 G 15.3.23

25/2/23 SDM 25/2

Fwd 15.3.23

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 61 of 1922

Serial No.	
4	Letter No. Dy Dis 122/priv. dt 11.3.23 from the Regr Chief Court forwarding the opinion of the Judges in the matter as called for in 86(1), Rules. The chief point proposed is the printing of the records in appeal case either in the (1) Govt Press (as is done in Rangoon) or (2) making arrangements with private printers to locate a small press in the public offices buildings if a room near the Secretariat block be made available for the purpose of printing the records under the close supervision of Govt.
	b) The question of the purchase may be left to be approved - if in consultation with the Supdt Govt Printing.
24/3/23	7) The D.S. section may be cancelled.
27/3	8) (D.S. Section with Compts) cag 29.3
	9) The Supdt Govt Printing may be consulted unofficially as to whether a small section of the Press may be located in the p.o. building (Chief Court premises) for printing the Chief Court records.
	2.4.23

D 3871

G. R. S. III-2] 36045

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 61 of 19

Serial No.

10) Forwarded with Compliments unofficially to the Superintendent of Govt. Printing for favour of opinion B.O.

5- APR 23

Udamalpe for General Secy

3/4/23

11) Before giving a definite opinion on the subject, information may kindly be furnished, as to the quantity of work, i.e average number of foolscap pages, to be done in a year & the cost that is now being incurred on the printing of the Records in Private Presses.

for Secretary
4.4.1923

Judl. Br.

12) Please address the Chief for information.

13) Drafted

14) Issue

24 APR 1923

to the Registrar of Co
No. P. 10285 of 18-4-1923
calls for information as certainly

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

5173 (4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 161 of 1922

Serial No.

letter No. Dy Dis 138/22-23 of 27.4.23 from the Regr. Civil furnishing the information as called for in SL.5

(5) Ans. The information required may be sent unofficially to the Supt. Govt Printing, as the same is wanted by him for an expression of his opinion on the subject of this SL.

(6) Yes.

Secy
2/5/23
Secy
4/5

(7) Forwarded U.O. with compliments to the Supt, Govt Printing, with reference to para 11 supra, with a request that his opinion may kindly be furnished early.

By order
C Abdulghani
for chief Secy

Secy
5/5

Secy
7-5-23

Secy
7-5-23

(8) It will be seen from the statement furnished by the Chief Court, that on an average about 3,500 pages of foolscap have to be set up in a year, incurring a cost of about Rs 4300 per annum. This means that about 300 pages of foolscap have to be setup and printed every month, on an average. Considering the technical nature

Serial No.

A1
MAY 1923
39457
39478

of the work, dealing as it does, with legal matters & also the urgency with which it has to be done, this will entail additional work on the Press, involving special arrangements to cope with the work uninterruptedly day by day. An additional establishment of compositors & especially proof readers, would therefore be absolutely necessary - & a recurring grant of Rs 5000 will be made by the Chief Court annually for this work a special establishment will be entertained and the work carried on.

(9) Equipping a separate Press for this purpose is not to be thought of, as it would require heavy Capital expenditure of at least Rs 20000 in the present condition of high prices -

9-5-1923 Sir Lancaster Hindley

20) Govt Press may perhaps be saddled with this work, the grant asked for is high & may be reduced after going into the question. Employees in the Press are said to complain of want of sufficient work

Chief Secy)

cag
11/5

5473/P

(6

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 05 File No. 161 of 19 23.

Serial No.

21) From the Supts note it would seem that the only doing the work in the Govt Press will be more ~~only~~ than is the case at present in Private presses. In view of this and of the fact that it is not desirable to take away ~~private~~ printing work from private ~~presses~~ presses, the proposal to hamper the work in the Govt Press might be abandoned. (At the same time it is necessary to regulate any work in the Govt Press should not more than in Private presses)

A

B.

The suggestion of the Rs. members about establishing a branch of the Govt Press in Shillong could be examined in view of the capital cost involved by the Deputy Secretary building

C

1st Members 12/5/23

9349-401 2nd Members 14.5.23

443 17.5.23

22) A. I agree.

23) B. This is I think, under a certain scale.

24) C. I agree. It is untenable at present.

Govt 16/5/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

05/16/5

16/5 cag 16/5

G. R. S. III-21

5273.

(7)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

15 File No. 16/1/P of 19 23.

Serial No.

6/23 24) Why can't he furnish his Chief Court with his opinion for his support & ask them whether they can allot Rs 5000 for that purpose.

Sd/-
9/6/23

25) Engrossing
11/6 carg 11/6

11/6 26) Submitted with a draft -

27) a copy of para's 184 19 of the rules may go as opinion of the Supdt, with the draft. Done.

11/6/23 Arm 11/6

7 20 carg 12/6

The Registrar, Chief Court.
No. P 11546 of 15.6.23

I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Supdt. Govt. Press on the proposal and enquire whether a sum of Rs. 5000/- can be allotted by the Chief Chief, for the purpose

Sd/-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S 763
P.

Protection—(Judicial)—contd.

No. 46.	Summary of Representation.
Printing of records by the Chief Court. Mr. C. Krishnamurthi, Law Association, Bangalore.	In all cases where the printing or typing of records are insisted upon, a small fee on the basis of the court fee paid may be charged for the purpose of getting the records printed and the printing may be done by the Chief Court out of the funds thus collected.

X

The file (cts 161-22) is put up - (his case A).

Govt.

The charges now paid are not sufficient to establish a separate printing press for the Chief Court. The proposal entails heavier expenses for the parties

21.5.23

The proposal ignores the fact that the bulk of a record in any case does not depend upon the court-fee paid. Actual charges are now paid, and there can be no better method. This is proposed to be replaced by a system which has no reasonable basis, either of actuals or any principles of equity, but which is apparently aimed at a portion — a major portion perhaps — of the cost being borne by the Govt. Not a well-considered proposal.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S File No. 161 of 19 22-23

Serial No.

From

The Regr. Chief Court.

Letter no Roc 1525 dd 23.6.1923

States that the receipts for typing and printing records amount to Rs 5700/- and that the Supt Govt Printing may be asked to reduce the cost by 25%, as otherwise the cost to the parties will not be much reduced and suggests that details may be worked up in consultation with the Supt Govt Printing on certain conditions if the principle is once approved.

JD) At the cost put up by the Supt Govt Printing the Chief Court are not quite sanguine as to the amount ~~of receipts~~ that may be received - They suggest the reduction of the cost by 25% - which is - perhaps possible in view of the fact that action is being taken in the P and S section to reduce the cost of printing.

Subd for orders

28.6.23

para 23 -

file was required for - typing - Secy only today (12-7-24)

12/7/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

57 C 1384

CS 761-22 (C 1348 P

(315)

SUBJECT No. 47.

Printing of records by the Chief Court.

Mr. C. Krishnamurthi, Law Association, Bangalore.

Summary of Discussion.—Existing rules require typing when the record is 50 pages and printing when it is more. This is a great hardship. There were 200 regular appeals between July and December 1922. Courtfees realised amounted to Rs. 4,670 while the printing and typing charges came up to Rs. 3,000. In the case of second appeals, these charges exceed the Courtfee. There was an extreme instance recently where printing charges amounted to Rs. 55 when the Courtfee was only six annas. 1½ to 2 per cent of the Courtfee may be charged in each case and printing done by the Chief Court or a Branch Press may be kept in the Chief Court as in other places and the cost lessened.

Reply of Government.—The latter suggestion will be considered and the Chief Court consulted.

32) The Chief Court may be consulted. Suits works a draft. But it is respectfully submitted that the Chief Court have already stated that if rooms can be made available near the Chief Court block. Arrangements can be made with private presses to locate a portion of their press near the Chief Court which will facilitate supervision of the work, safety of the records and reduced cost to parties in the way of non-filing of copies for Chief Court to perusal. If approved instead of consulting the Chief Court, the Supdt. Govt Printing may be consulted re. rate the present cost (as the cost of printing has become reduced elsewhere) of doing the work in the Govt Press. Submitted for orders.

(34) A copy of the Chief Court letter dated 23-6-1923, as well as a copy of the Supdts' opinion in the file (pages 18+19) may be sent to the present Supdt. She may be asked whether it is possible to undertake the work on the lines indicated by the Chief Court.

16.1.24

Lt Secy

35) Yes

cug 17.1

cug 18/1

33) No further reference to the Chief Court is necessary.

cug 17.1

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

1384 P

25 File No. 161 of 1922-3

Serial No.	
36	Put into a draft. — 37 Issue 8. Jul 18/1/24
9. 6	The Supdt, Govt printing resp 4043 dt 22-1-24 requests to intimate whether it is possible to undertake the printing of records of Civil appeals filed in the Chief Court in the Govt press on the lines indicated by the Chief Court.
10	In the Supdt Govt Printing Letter no 2556 dt 28-1-24 states that if a recurring grant of Rs 5000/- can be made a special section can be opened in the Govt Press and parties may be charged Rs 1-2-0 per page of solid matter and Rs 1-8-0 per page of tabular matter. 8) The present Supdt also states that a recurring grant of Rs 5000/- is necessary and the cost per page will be Rs 1-2-0 and Rs 1-6-0 in the case of tabular statement. The previous Supdt stated that the average cost per page will work 1-3-8. It is clear that the cost cannot be lowered to the parties if it is to be done in the Govt Press. Perhaps private presses may do it cheaper if the whole contract is given to them. But then the difficulty is as regards

Cost A

Serial No.

accommodation near the Chief Court premises so that it can be effectively supervised by the Chief Court. Already the parties are complaining of high cost of litigation. There is a case the proposal of doubling the printing fees for the reorganisation of the press staff. Thus there is every necessity to lower the cost of printing of approved the typ letter of the Supt Govt Printing may be sent to the Registrar Chief Court and a report called for as to whether any private press can do it cheaper and whether any security cannot be got from the private press for the safety of the records sent, failing which the only alternative is to make a recurring grant of Rs 5000 to the Govt Press for this work. Submitted for orders

2-2-24

39) The Reduction Representation has in reality two points: (1) Reduction in the cost of printing (2) Locating a press near the Chief Court.

(1) In regard to (1): It is clear from the letter of the Superintendent of the press that the cost of printing at the Govt press is almost the same as that of printing at the private press. So unless [illegible] the loss by Govt

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 161 of 19

Serial No.

incurred by reducing the printing charges. That is not possible to reduce the charges

41) With regard to (2): The Supdt thinks that if the Govt press is told the work that may be done at the present press. For locating a press near the Chief Court would involve an initial outlay of Rs 20000/- vide para 4 above. This is not possible at present. But if accomodation can be found near the Chief Court, a private press may be started there to print under the supervision of the Chief Court. Perhaps the Rangoon Law Journal press which is conducted by the members of the Bar may take up the work

Dr Reg.
(21 months).

42) A sum of Rs 5000 may be provided for any year as proposed by the Supdt. The maximum is exceeded to Rs 5700 — The Financial Secretary may be consulted

Fwd
With Compts

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4(14)

I. R. S. III-2]

3328 P 23 WD 2310—GPB—10,000—31-5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 161 of 1923

Serial No.

H 628

43) It is private Work and Cost s/d be fully borne by parties. There is no financial objn to entrust the work to the Court pass if the arrangement entails no expenditure on Govt and all charges including Cost of experimn are paid for by parties whose records are printed

Mal Opgrs

44) P.S. As for additional grant for this work there will be no difficulty as the net grant will be nil, the expenses being covered by fees charged

Mal 9/9

L.L. Sretts

L.L.Sr 9/9

1st member

2693 2/9

45) Then issue orders recording enclosures and send this first Press.

20.9

30/9

1.10.

46) Send into draft

3580 3×120

14/10/23.

28/10/23

1st member

47) Draft may be appd.

17/10

17.10

NOTE—Conton. sheet.

P 3328/P 1923.

16

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2810—GPE—10,000—31-5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

85 File No. 161 of 1922-3

Serial No.

(9) [illegible] provision [illegible] an Extra [illegible] & Rs 5000/- [illegible] in the Ben. Budget for this purpose [illegible] as given effect [illegible] the amount [illegible] Rs, with a note [illegible] amount [illegible] a Supplementary grant for which sanction [illegible] Legislative Council [illegible] the Standing [illegible] or a motion for demand; though the amount will be fully met by fees [illegible] receipts from the Public [illegible] Stores [illegible] this [illegible] be [illegible] considered as Constructive [illegible] for [illegible] Sec).

10) I [illegible] also [illegible] have [illegible] made clear in [illegible] order [illegible] Separate accounts [illegible] are maintained in respect [illegible] Expenditure [illegible] Printing charges in question so [illegible] if the [illegible] Receipts [illegible] exceed the amount [illegible] provided [illegible] granted [illegible] such Excess [illegible] may [illegible] be [illegible] credited [illegible] the [illegible] Govt. Depts [illegible] Stores [illegible] if [illegible] being [illegible] met [illegible] from the Secn. Budget.

Fe 11561/24

Secretary [illegible] Secy
2 WKS Completed

2/2/24
8/2
3.12
6
3.12
meet
4/12/24

11) The point raised at A is whether audit

NOTE—Conton. sheet.

Serial No.

Je 1156/24

audit is conducted against gross or net grants. This is a matter on which the view of the Comptroller has to prevail. I consulted him about it in a case concerning the Agricultural Department. He expressed the opinion that audit for purposes of report to the Legislative Council would be with reference to net grant. A different view is, however, held in England and the Public Accounts Committee of the Central Legislature in India has decided upon following the English Parliamentary procedure. Until the Comptroller holds an opinion different from that he has now expressed or the Public Accounts Committee of the Mysore Legislative Council raises the point, audit against net grant may continue, and under this procedure, a supplementary estimate (to be S? by L.C.) where there is an increase only in the gross grant will not be necessary.

L.L. Scott)

27/9.12 2nd Member

I have nothing more
to add to what I have already
stated in my minute of 2nd inst.
I would suggest to audit the proposed grant
on the basis of the minute here recorded
by the Secretary of the 2nd member for Revenue

Legge 12/12

(1st Member)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

5) This point raises another important question. The amount of fees is credited to Press and the postal dept. will have nothing to do with it. The printer will not be called upon to pay. & the printing will result in a loss if the calculation of the press dept. are wrong. Such a presumption cannot be raised. The stamps will have to be made good at the material in the king, from whether it is made good by the postal dept. or the Press dept. In prospect & calculation & expenditure it will be convenient I think if the stamps if any is debited to Press. Otherwise the question will arise as to the expenditure & the money if the calculations are more than the cost actually incurred. Will the Press pay the stamps to the postal dept. I think it is much better that the press bears the loss & ~~profits~~ and receives the profits. It is a case of a contract that the press enters into with the Govt. Govt & a contract cannot be rescinded

Note
31/12
8
2/1
3/1

2nd member)

3L/3.1

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

The arrangement may be sanctioned tentatively for one year on purpose :- i.e. up to the end of Dec. 25, as by that time the question of increasing the grant to be given in accordance with the change of the currency

The Bank and agents for this year will have to be informed for a single grant.

5/1

8/1.

6/1

Submitted.

The Regr. Bhuj court has acted only the outlines of scheme and detailed rules have not been framed. The actually working of the scheme will take some time after the details of the scheme have been sanctioned. A draft giving sanction to the scheme is put up. As ordered above, it may not be possible to fix the period of working of one year till the end of Dec. 1925. Submitted for orders as to whether the draft sanctioning the scheme may be issued?

The Registrar may kindly approve the draft and direct issue

8/1/25 9/1/25.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

05 / 05 File No. 161 · 3328/P/23 of 1922-3

Serial No.

5-5-70 / 12.1.25

57) Should take some time before the details & rules are framed & approved. In that case the fixing of the period ending 31st Dec. 1928 may not be suitable & borders. The period may perhaps be fixed after the rules are ready & the Legislature 1st Number

58) Agree. Please amend. Min. and number

77 / 4.2.25 2nd Number

59) Do. Dewan to for a period. Such period may be extended, later if necessary

60) The 3rd para of draft says that the scheme is to be in force for a year. It is not perhaps possible to fix the date of commencement of working of the scheme now since details have been called for in the proposed draft

61) The Hon'ble the Lawyers' Conference resolution requesting that the Central Council of the Conference may be consulted in the matter of framing of records keeping

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

the Chief Court is invited thereto. To
be effected therein a ~~paragraph~~ line to the G.O.
may be added requesting the Chief Court
to forward the detailed scheme in consultation
with the Central Council Lawyers' Conference
for orders.

KB 9/11-23. Whether the issue of the G.O. may be deferred pending decision by the Lawyer's Association may also be considered

KB 9/12

See 3rd para of the G.O. says that the ~~Govt~~ Scheme will be used for a year after the rules framed by the Chief Court are approved by Govt. The G.O. may issue perhaps

Meanwhile the resolution of the Lawyers Conference a copy of which is put up herewith relates to the same subject of forming records in appeal cases.

The resolution is to the effect that the matter may be referred to the Central Council of Conference for investigation & report.

The resolution may perhaps be sent to the Chief

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 161 P of 1925

Serial No.

Court for their opinion of the judges. But the question now is whether the issue of the G.O. is to await till the opinion of the Chief Court is received. It would perhaps be sufficient if the resolution is sent to the Chief Court to meet the request with the Conference may be consulted if necessary in working out the details. Meanwhile the G.O. may perhaps issue.

(Sd) S 12/2

(6) G.O. may perhaps be issued & they Govt may be asked to submit their report after consulting the Central Council of Lawyers Conference.

1st Member Sd 13/2

6656-6
14.2.25

for an early issue of this Sd 15/2
Resolution & a copy to the Central Council of the Lawyers' Conference for their views.

Sd 16/2

Sd 17/2

Sd 17.2

Pl 1837-24
D 20/2/25

For financial Opinion please see para 5 ante.

Draft & Fair Copies of G.O. Communicating Sanction

Sd 20/2/25

Sd 23/2/25

To the Controller

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Pl 4729 dated 23/2/25

To the Regr. Chief Court
" Accountant Gen. Madras
" Supdt. Govt Printing
" D.C. Bangalore for Communication to R. Anantha
No P. 4487-90. Dt 20-2-25

Serial No. 11

Passes orders entrusting the work of printing of records in Civil appeals before the Chief Court, to the Supdt Govt Printing and requests the Regr Chief Court to submit a set of rules in the matter in consultation with the Supdt. for approval

B 252

25

Resolutions passed at the second Lawyers' Conference (1924) held at Chirala on 10th and 11th July 1924.

RESOLUTION NO. VI. REDUCTION OF PRINTING CHARGES :- Resolved - that the question of the printing of records in appeals before the Chief Court and all matters connected therewith be referred to the Central Council of the Conference for investigation and report.

Moved by Mr.N. Sama Iyengar.

Seconded by Mr. A.R.Nageswara Iyer.

Submd

In order to reduce printing charges to the parties the question of establishing a separate establishment in the form of press for printing records of the Chief Court is under consideration (vide D.Dis.No 161-22. dtd 3528/F of 1923) and has been referred to Revenue Member of Council. The Resolution of the Lawyers Conference recommends that such questions be referred to the Central Council of the Conference for investigation and report. May the Hon'ble Chief Court be requested to do the favour (put up in the Courts file 161-24) to submit the details scheme after consulting the Central Council Lawyers Conference. If this is approved after the return of the file the necessary paragraph will be added. Submd for orders.

24/7/25 MG

The question Revenue Dept file & their requirement will be met.

4/8

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

26

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3768

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* , 191 .

Date of issue 19/2/23

Signed by Mr Jues

Section C5

File No. 161-22

Serial No 1

No. 07083.

To Metcalfe Chief Court-

Lie

I am directed to invite the Summary of proceedings

reference to ~~R~~ Subject no.

104 re ~~the reduction of pay~~ the arrangement for

and transfer Charges and also

printed the records in appeals before

Copying Charges of Paper

the Chief Court ~~and the refusal of Govt~~

~~decision of the Rd of Revenue~~ &

to request you to favour forth

with the opinion of the Judges of

Chief court in the matter.

Yours

over.—

2/2/23

2/2/23

Rec'd on 31683 Immediate

4307

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE 27.

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 21st Feb: 1923.

No. C5/161-22

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

SECY. & NEW. DEPTT.
22 FEB 1923

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P.7083/Cts.161-22-1, dated the 19th February 1923, inviting reference to summary of representation on Subject No. 104, re the arrangement for getting printed the records in appeals before the Chief Court and calling for the opinion of the Judges in the matter.

2. In reply I am to state that the printed book of the Subjects brought up at the Representative Assembly of

G. R. S. VI—G/32 10

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4307

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 10/3

Signed by M. Jug

Section 45

File No. 161-22

Serial No. 3

No. 19618 (1)

To The Secretary Chief Secretary

Sir,

I am directed by me letter

Roc 1002/xx-23 of 21.2.23

re Subject no 104 of the Repre Assembly

~~requesting the Govt of the U.P.~~

~~of the R.A. proceedings book of~~

the Assembly of 1922, for

~~taking action on the resolution of~~

~~the Legislative Assembly~~, I am directed

to forward herewith an extract

of the Representation of the U.P.

Govt. Minister and to request

that, ~~that~~ the decision of the

Govt on the matter may kindly

be communicated to this Govt as

~~practicable~~.

Please see

Govt of India Letter No. 125 A/23 dated

3443

IMMEDIATE

4796 30

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 21st March 1923.

D. Dis No. 122

-4

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

22 MAR 1923

To

G. ARAVAMUDU IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.P.9618 (1) Cts. 161-22-3 dated 15th March 1923 forwarding an extract of the representation relating to Subject No.104 of the Representative Assembly of 1922 Dasara Session in the matter of entrusting the printing of records in appeals before the Chief Court, to a separate section of the Government Press and requesting to be furnished with the opinion of the Judges thereon.

2. In reply, I am to state that under the present rules, which were framed with the object of ensuring the safety of the original records, whenever records are sent for being printed at an outside private press, the parties are required to furnish copies made at slightly reduced rates on plain paper for being sent to the printer. It is not possible to avoid this item of cost so long as the press itself is located in a separate building. There are several private printers who are ready to locate a branch of their press in the Chief Court Building and if required, to pay a reasonable rent for the room that may be provided for them. If the printing is entrusted to the Government Press and the work done under the supervision of the Chief Court so far as the custody of the records is concerned, it will be unnecessary

to insist on parties filing copies, the requirements as to being more easily fulfilled in this case, safety of the records, and to this extent greater economy to the parties could be secured.

3. Apart from this, I am to observe that the Judges consider that it will certainly be an advantage, both as regards reduction of cost and improvement in quality and despatch of printing work, if a special establishment of the Government Press can be set apart (as is now understood to be the case in the Madras High Court) for printing records in appeals, but that failing this, it will be possible to make arrangements with private printers to locate a special branch in the Public Office if a room near the Chief Court block can be kindly made available by Government for this purpose.

4. It is understood that the Madras Government recently, in view of retrenchment, the reduction of printing work entrusted to private presses, and the concentration as far as possible, of all printing at the Government Press itself, has taken away the work of printing records in appeals of the High Court from the private agency which did the work formerly, and transferred it to the Government Press. I am to observe, that if a similar arrangement is introduced into Mysore, it would be possible to fix a reasonable rate for the work done (which may be expected to be not more than what is now charged by private printers), and credit the fees received from parties to Government, as is now done in the case of records that are typed by the special typist which appointment was recently sanctioned by Government in their order No.P.1757-8/Dts. 301-21-3 dated 4th September 1922.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

TRI/
MRU

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

32

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4796

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 18/4/23

Signed by M D.C.S.

Section 45

File No. 161/22

Serial No. 5

No. P. 10285

To The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir,

With reference to your
letter No: Dy Dis 122/22-23 dated
21.3.23 forwarding the Opinion
of the Judges of the Chief Court in
the matter of the printing of
the records of the Government in
appeal cases for Lower Burma
& requesting to be good
enough to furnish the
information on the following

over.

Encls:-

1) The annual number of foolscap pages required to the printed during the year in a year and

2) The cost that is now being incurred on the printing of the records in private press.

Yours

Carr

1874

7/4

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

38515

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 27th April 1923.

No. Dis 138

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

1 MAY 1923

To

K. M a t t h a n, Esqr., B. A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.P.10285/Cts.161-22-5 dated the 18th April 1923, requesting certain information regarding the printing of records in Civil appeals in the Chief Court and in reply to forward herewith a statement furnishing the required information.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Sreenivasaraghavachari
30/4/23

R E G I S T R A R.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF PAGES REQUIRED TO BE PRINTED IN CIVIL APPEALS IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

No.	Year	No. of pages printed.	Printing cost. Rs.	As.	P.	No. of pages typed.	Typing cost Rs.	As.	P.	Remarks
1	1919-1920	5136	6445	4	-	-	-	-	-	
2	1920-1921	2632	3300	-	6	-	-	-	-	
3	1921-1922	2192	2753	11	-	688	1376	4	0	
4	1922-1923 upto end of March 1923.	3728	4673	14	-	610	1628	8	0	
	Total.	13688	17172	13	6	1298	3004	12	0	
	Average...	3422	4293	3	4	649	1502	6	0	

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.
Dated 27th April 1923.

Sreenivasaiyangar 30/4/23

REGISTRAR.

AV.

od

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

36

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 5473

Section C & J 161. 22

File No.

Serial No. 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

No. P 11546 (2)

Date of issue 15/6

Signed by M' Leay.

To The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. D, dis 138 & 27. 4. 1923 re. the printing of records in Civil Appeals in the Chief Court, I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Superintendent, Govt. Ptg. & Stationery, ~~to state that the Supdt. of the Govt. Press in the print reports the equipping a separate Pre~~

over.

DIARY No. 6260

P.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

JUDICIAL BRANCH.

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1923.

Cl: 161-22-8.

Roc No. 1525/22-23

44792

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

25 JUN 1923

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.11546 (1) Cts. 161-22-7 dated the 15th instant forwarding the opinion of the Superintendent, Government Printing re: the printing of records in civil appeals in the Chief Court and enquiring whether the Chief Court can allot a sum of Rs.5,000/- for the purpose.

2. In reply, I am to invite attention to the statement forwarded with my previous letter No.D.1.Dis.138/22-23 dated 26th/30th April 1923 from which it will be seen that the average fee realised annually in the Chief Court from parties for printing and typing records is about Rs.5,700/-. Though this sum is more than what is required by the Superintendent of Government Printing, viz., Rs.5,000/- it will not be possible to guarantee it as the quantity of matter to be printed will depend on the number and nature of appeals, though it may be taken for all practical purposes that the number of appeals and quantity of matter are not likely to go down to any considerable extent in future years.

3. I am to observe that the Superintendent estimates the cost of printing about 3,500 foolscap pages at Rs.4,300/-, which works out at Re.1-3-8 per page. Private printers who have greater profits to make and overhead charges to bear, now charge only Re.1-4-0 per foolscap page for ten copies including proof reading. That being so, it may well be possible for the Government Press to print at lower rates, about 25 per cent cheaper than private presses.

4. I am to invite attention to the fact that as the object of the Representative Assembly member, Mr. C. Krishnamurthi appears to be to further reduce the cost of printing, any arrangement for -- transferring this work hitherto done by private presses, to the Government Press will not be of much benefit to parties, unless the rates charged by the Government Press are less than what is charged by private printers, and in fact pre-supposes such a condition.

5. As

5. As already observed in my previous letter, one of the chief advantages of transferring the work to the Government Press is that (provided there is adequate guarantee on the part of the Government Press for the safety of records sent), it will not be necessary to insist on parties filing copies of the papers they require to be printed, the original record itself being sent to the press; and it will be possible to send for printing the records that are now being typed, and the cost to the party is not likely to be more than if it is typewritten.

6. I am to suggest, that in case the Government decide to entrust the work to the Superintendent, Government Printing, the provision may be made for the following requirements :--

(1) Proof reading (as is now done by private presses) to continue to be done entirely by the Government Press and not by the Chief Court staff;

(2) Records should be printed with reasonable promptness, so that the work in the Chief Court may not be hampered or delayed by delays in the press;

(3) Adequate precautions should be taken to ensure the safety of the original records entrusted to the Government Press;

(4) Ten copies (or as many more as are required at the rates paid to private presses for extra copies) should be supplied;

(5) Except in regard to technical details, the work should be done under the general supervision and instructions of the Registrar, Chief Court.

7. I am to add that if the Government approve of the general outlines of the proposal, the details may be worked out in consultation with the Superintendent, Government Printing. The fees recoverable from parties for printing may be credited to Government, as is now done in the case of typing; and if the Government so prefer it, the scheme may be tried tentatively for one year in the first instance.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

TMN/

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

40

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 22.1.24

Signed by Mr C A Ghani

Section Ch

File No. 161-22

Serial No. 9

No. P 4045/Conf 2

To

The Supt Govt Printing

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of note of 9.5.23 from the Foreign Supt Govt Printing together with a copy of letter no Roc 1525/22.3 of 23-6-1923 from the Registrar Chief Court re the arrangements to be made for printing records in civil appeals filed in the Chief Court, and to request you to kindly intimate whether it is possible to undertake the work in the Govt Press on the lines indicated by the Chief Court. An early reply is requested.

over.

Ghani et

Conf

8/1/24 Dr L

PB—1800C—16-8-23

3548

WD 962—GRB—2000—4-1[illegible]-23
GOVERNMENT PRINTING IN MYSORE.

No. 2556.

Bangalore, dated 28th Jan: 1924.

FROM-

B. PUTTAIYA, ESQ., B.A.,
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING,
BANGALORE

SECTION
JAN. 1924

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government, Local & Legislative Depts,
B A N G A L O R E.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No: P. 4045-Cts: 161-22-9, dated 22nd January 1924, I have the honor to state that as already stated, if a recurring grant of Rs: 5,000/- is made annually, the work will be done in the Government Press by opening a special section and entertaining a special establishment

As for the rates to be charged to the partices, I beg to state that the actual cost for printing 3500 pages of records will be about Rs:4,000/- exclusive of overhead charges, which had been included in my previous estimate.

Therefore fees at the rate of Rs: 1-2-0 per page of solid matter and Rs: 1-8-0 per page of tabular matter may be recovered from the parties.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

D.V.S.

G.R.S.VI.G.34

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 20-2-28

Signed by

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

~~iv translated ?~~

placed at the disposal of the press ?

~~vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?~~

Section Chs.

File No. 161-22

Serial No. 11

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Subject re 104 of Dasara session of 1922 re the reduction of printing charges of records in appeals before the Chief Court by attaching a small printing establishment to the Chief Court from the Govt Press.

2. Letter No P. Dis 122/22-3 dt 21-3-1923 from the Reg: Chief Court stating that if the printing is entrusted to the Govt Press and the work done under the supervision of the Chief Court, it will be unnecessary to insist upon parties filing copies of records and to this extent the cost will be lessened

3- Unofficial opinion dt 9-5-23 from the Supt Govt Printing stating that if the Chief Court can make an arrangement with Govt Press a special establishment consisting of proof readers

Can be entertained and the work done in the Govt. Press and that it is not possible at present to equip a sufficiently large press as the initial outlay is very great

4. Letter no Roc 525 22-3-23 dated 23-6-1923 from the Registrar Chief Court stating that though the average fees realised by the Civil Court work up to Rs 5700/- per annum and the amount of Rs 5000/- required by the Govt. Printing is much less it will not be possible to guarantee it as the quantity of material to be printed will depend on the number and nature of appeals and that if it is decided that the work be done in the Govt. Press, the fees realised may still be credited to Govt. and with provision for expenditure in the estimates of a scheme as follows

(as under clauses 1 to 5 of para 6 of letter of 23-6-1923 of the Registrar, Chief Court).

5. Letter no 2556-J, 28-1-1924 from the Govt. Printing stating that if a recurring grant of Rs 5000/- is made annually, the work will be done in the Govt. Press by opening a special section and entertaining a special establishment and that a fee at the rate of Rs 1-2-0 per page of printed matter and Rs 1-6-0 per page of tabular matter may be realised from the parties.

Order / 4487-90

Govt. observe that the fees realised from the parties for printing records in civil appeals before the Chief Court up to an amount of Rs 5000/- and direct that an amount of Rs 5000/- may be provided for in the Press Budget

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

45

for opening a special Section and entertaining a special establishment for the purpose. The parties may be charged at the rate of Rs 1-2-0 per page of the matter and Rs 1-6-0 per page of tabular statement and the amount realised credited to the Press department.

2 The outlines of the scheme as detailed in the paras of the proceedings ~~order~~ sent by the Registrar are approved and he is requested to submit a detailed set of rules in consultation with the Supt Govt Printing in the matter.

3. The scheme may be tried tentatively for a year after the detailed rules called for in the above are approved by Govt.

18/10/10 L. L. Leg

To
The Reg: Chief Court under cover to
the Comptroller Govt H. Secty
Supt Govt Printing
Director P. Works Dept for communication to the
member who moved the subject
a Press Table

14.11.24